

March 25, 1976

CLERK: He offered one and it lost, so now this is another one, and it is not on the sheet.

SENATOR BURBACH: I just want to alert the body that there are 15 amendments for bringing bills back from either E & R Engorssment and Final Reading. It is now 3:57 and still 15 minutes to go. I hope that we don't stay to 8:45 tonight.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, members of the body and in keeping with the Speakers wishes, a number of you in the debate on the motion to fund LB799 before indicated that you wanted the question split so I have taken the \$25,000 for the legislature attorney out. We do have the \$160,000 for the performance audit function to fund that in and I move the bill be returned to Select File for that appropriation.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, Senator Anderson, one question please. Am I correct to understand that by reducing the amount to...by \$25,000 that it is in the intent of your motion and the intent of the legislature by adopting it, if they do, that there will not be a full time attorney hired as provided for in LB799, and would I be correct that by the adoption of this the majority of the legislature is so directing the Executive Board, or are we just making a lesser amount of an appropriation, and everything goes on anyway.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Senator Warner, my intent of offerring the motion is to fund the performance audit function.

SENATOR WARNER: Is it also your intent not to fund the position of a full time attorney?

SENATOR ANDERSON: Yes, it is.

SENATOR WARNER: Is there any member of the Ex Board who understands the motion differently? Senator Barnett, would you yield to a question. I understand that you understand it differently, how would you understand it?

SENATOR BARNETT: I understand it that the Executive Board...you are not ear marking that money, so I would have to understand it that that money is there for the Executive Board to use its wisdom.

SENATOR WARNER: Since...lets put it this way. Senator Anderson, as you have explained it, the attorney will not be hired, I would assume that if this is adopted then you would also urge the adoption, or perhaps I should offer it here, which I...I can't because it is a specific amendment, that in no case shall the Ex Board hire full time person as provided for in 799. Would that be a clarifying amendment for you?

SENATOR ANDERSON: Senator Warner, I think that a way to clarify that would be to strike that portion of 799. That would make it clearer.